Dynamic Tensor Rematerialization

Presenter: Steven Lyubomirsky*

Marisa Kirisame* Altan Haan* Jennifer Brennan Mike He

Jared Roesch Tianqi Chen Zachary Tatlock

*Equal contribution

PAUL G. ALLEN SCHOOL
OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

sampl

PLSE



Jain *et al.,* "Checkmate: Breaking the Memory Wall With Optimal Tensor Rematerialization" (2020)

Checkpointing: Trade Time for Space

- Recompute activations instead of storing them
- Gradient Checkpointing, Chen *et al.* (2016)
  - Pick segments to recompute in backward pass
  - $O(\sqrt{N})$ memory for $O(N)$ extra ops
  - Many later segmenting approaches
- Checkmate, Jain *et al.* (2020)
  - Rematerialize individual values
  - ILP for optimal(!) planning



Checkpointing: Trade Time for Space

- Recompute activations instead of storing them
- Gradient Checkpointing, Chen *et al.* (2016)
  - Pick segments to recompute in backward pass
  - $O(\sqrt{N})$ memory for $O(N)$ extra ops
  - Many later segmenting approaches
- Checkmate, Jain *et al.* (2020)
  - Rematerialize individual values
  - ILP for optimal(!) planning



Checkpointing: Trade Time for Space

- Recompute activations instead of storing them
- Gradient Checkpointing, Chen *et al.* (2016)
  - Pick segments to recompute in backward pass
  - $O(\sqrt{N})$ memory for $O(N)$ extra ops
  - Many later segmenting approaches
- Checkmate, Jain *et al.* (2020)
  - Rematerialize individual values
  - ILP for optimal(!) planning



Static Planning is Unnecessary

- Past approaches plan checkpoints in advance
- Require static knowledge of the model
- Planning can be expensive, limits applications
- Our contributions:
  - *Static planning is unnecessary for checkpointing*
  - Still achieve good compute-memory tradeoffs

Dynamic Tensor Rematerialization

- Cache-like approach: A runtime system
  - No static information necessary
  - Greedily allocate, evict and recompute as needed
  - Collects metadata to guide heuristics
  - Operates at a high level of abstraction
- Still competitive with static planning!

Rematerializing on the Fly

Execution trace when computing t_7



MEMORY BUDGET: 4

○ = IN MEMORY

Current operation: `PerformOp(op7, [t5, t6])`

Rematerializing on the Fly



Current operation: `PerformOp(op7, [t5, t6])`

Rematerializing on the Fly



Current operation: `Rematerialize(`t_5`)`

Rematerializing on the Fly



Current operation: `PerformOp(op5, [t3])`

Rematerializing on the Fly

The heuristic is free to pick t_2



MEMORY BUDGET: 4

○ = IN MEMORY

Current operation: `PerformEviction()`

Rematerializing on the Fly



Current operation: `AllocateBuffer(`t_5`.size); op`$_5$`(`t_3`)`

Rematerializing on the Fly

Our arguments are back—but still no room for t_7!



MEMORY BUDGET: 4

○ = IN MEMORY

Current operation: `AllocateBuffer(`t_7`.size)`

Rematerializing on the Fly



Current operation: `PerformEviction()`

Rematerializing on the Fly



Current operation: $op_7(t_5, t_6)$

Rematerializing on the Fly



MEMORY BUDGET: 4

○ = IN MEMORY

DTR: Just Some Callbacks

`AllocateBuffer(size)`: Allocate if enough room, else evict until there is

`PerformEviction()`: Heuristic chooses a tensor to evict

`Rematerialize(t)`: Recompute `t` by replaying its parent op (`PerformOp`)

`PerformOp(op, args)`:

- Rematerialize evicted arguments
- Make room for result
- Update metadata

What Do Heuristics Look Like?

- Dynamic prediction of which tensor is least valuable
- Useful metadata, easy to track:
  - Cost $c(t)$: Avoid recomputing expensive tensors
  - Staleness $s(t)$: Recently used $\Longrightarrow$ likely to be used soon
  - Memory $m(t)$: Large tensors are most profitable to evict
- Resulting policy: minimize $h(t) = c(t)/(m(t) \cdot s(t))$
- Others: LRU $\left(\frac{1}{s(t)}\right)$ and largest-first $\left(\frac{1}{m(t)}\right)$

Formal Bounds

Performance on N-layer linear feedforward network:

- $\Omega(\sqrt{N})$ memory and $O(N)$ operations
- Same bound as Chen *et al*. (2016)
- No advance knowledge of model!

Proof (Sketch) in Pictures



Proof (Sketch) in Pictures



Proof (Sketch) in Pictures



Proof (Sketch) in Pictures



Also a “no-free-lunch” proof:

- Adversarial input exists for every heuristic
- Hence our empirical exploration

Comparison of Heuristics (Simulated)



Comparison of Heuristics (Simulated)

Overhead: Additional operator cost



Budget: Fraction of memory needed without checkpointing

Comparison of Heuristics (Simulated)



Heuristics using more metadata hit lower budgets

Heuristics of varying complexity

Comparison of Heuristics (Simulated)



Similar trend holds across all models examined!

Comparison of Heuristics (Simulated)



Similar trend holds across all models examined!

Comparison Against Static Techniques



Simulated comparison via the Checkmate MLSys 2020 artifact

Comparison Against Static Techniques

Neck-and-neck with optimal!
But runs in milliseconds



Simulated comparison via the Checkmate MLSys 2020 artifact

Prototype Implementation in PyTorch



Thin wrapper over tensor operators, core logic a few hundred LOC

Prototype Implementation in PyTorch



Thin wrapper over tensor operators, core logic a few hundred LOC

Prototype Implementation in PyTorch

Strange profiling behavior, perhaps due to Python reflection —but the prototype still worked



Thin wrapper over tensor operators, core logic a few hundred LOC

Conclusion

- Encouraging initial results
- Many possible avenues of future work
  - Distributed settings: DTR per GPU?
  - Combining DTR with swapping
  - Tighter integration into the memory manager
  - Learning heuristics, learn from past batches
- Check out the simulator and prototype!
  https://github.com/uwsampl/dtr-prototype

Marisa Kirisame

Altan Haan

Jennifer Brennan



Mike He

Jared Roesch

Tianqi Chen

Zach Tatlock

JUMP

Joint University Microelectronics Program

www.src.org/program/jump

Semiconductor Research Corporation

@srcJUMP

Applications Driving Architectures