

# Molecule Optimization by Explainable Evolution


**Binghong Chen<sup>1\*</sup>**, Tianzhe Wang<sup>1,5\*</sup>, Chengtao Li<sup>2</sup>, Hanjun Dai<sup>3</sup>, Le Song<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Georgia Institute of Technology, <sup>2</sup>Galixir, <sup>3</sup>Google Research, Brain Team,

<sup>4</sup>Mohamed bin Zayed University of AI, <sup>5</sup>Shanghai Qi Zhi Institute

# Molecule Optimization


- Design new molecules with desired properties:
  - Property scoring function  $f$  (potent, non-toxic, easy to synthesize, ...)
  - Challenges: searching over the vast space of  $> 10^{60}$  molecules.



- Task: Learn a molecule generative model  $p(\cdot)$  to maximize  $\max_{p(\cdot)} \mathbb{E}_{g \sim p(\cdot)} [f(g)]$

# RL for Generative Design

- Generation policy  $p$ : decide a new atom (and bonds) to add to the current partial molecule.




Autoregressive generative process

- Use RL to optimize  $p$ :
  - Reward  $r = f(g)$  only obtained at the end.
  - Sparse reward, long horizon  $\rightarrow$  hard to optimize.

# Conditioning on Substructures


- Rationales – substructures that most contributes to the desired molecular properties.
- Conditioning generation policy  $p$  on rationales.



- Use RL to optimize  $p$ :
  - Shorter horizon → easier to optimize.
  - Obtaining rationales is hard
    - Designed manually: require human effort.
    - MCTS (Jin et al., 2020): unable to optimize rationales jointly with  $p$ .

# Our Approach: MolEvol

## ■ Hierarchical Generative Model



## ■ Alternating Optimization (EM-style)


$$J(\theta, p(s)) = \mathbb{E}_{g \sim p_{\theta}(\cdot)}[f(g)] + \lambda \cdot \mathbb{H}[p(s)]$$

- **E-step**

- Fix  $p_{\theta}(g|s)$ , update  $p(s)$ .


- **M-step**

- Fix  $p(s)$ , update  $p_{\theta}(g|s)$ .




# MolEvol: Algorithm Overview

- Init
  - A set of seed molecules are given.
  - Parameter  $\theta^0$ .
- E-step
  - Produce a set of rationales with explainable graph model.
  - Optimize  $p(s)$  (closed form).
- M-step
  - Produce a set of seed molecules.
  - Optimize  $p_\theta(g|s)$  (RL).




# MolEvol: E-step

- We use weighted particles to represent  $p^t(s)$ .
- Particles are obtained from an explainable graph model.
  - Extract key subgraphs (rationales)  $s$  from seed molecules  $g \in \mathcal{G}^{t-1}$ .
  - $s$  explains  $f(g)$ .
- Weights can be computed using  $\theta^{t-1}$ .




# MolEvol: Explainable Graph Model

- To explain  $\mathbb{P}(Y = 1|g) \triangleq f(g)$ , we maximize the mutual information between  $Y$  and rationale  $s$ .




# MolEvol: M-step


- We update  $\theta^t$  from  $\theta^{t-1}$  using RL,
  - Init state  $s \sim p^t(s)$ ,
  - Reward  $r = f(g)$ .



# Property Score Distributions



Distribution of  $f(g)$  from each iteration.



Distribution of the property scores.

# Comparing to Baselines

| Algorithm    | MolEvol      | [MCTS] | [FixM] | [FixR] | RationaleRL  | REINVENT | MSO   | GA-D(t) |
|--------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|----------|-------|---------|
| Success rate | <b>93.0%</b> | 77.7%  | 67.3%  | 66.3%  | 61.1%        | 46.6%    | 57.7% | 62.0%   |
| Novelty      | <b>75.7%</b> | 72.5%  | 67.4%  | 54.6%  | 57.4%        | 66.4%    | 28.6% | 19.4%   |
| Diversity    | 0.681        | 0.707  | 0.723  | 0.727  | <b>0.749</b> | 0.666    | -     | -       |

Ablation studies

RL baselines

EA baselines


# Thanks for listening!

---


For more details, please refer to our paper/full slides/poster/repo:




[Paper](#)



[Full Slides](#)



[Poster](#)



[GitHub](#)