

Improved Regret Bounds for Non-Convex Online-Within-Online Meta Learning

Jiechao Guan, Hui Xiong

AI Thrust, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou), China

{jiechaoguan, xionghui}@hkust-gz.edu.cn



March 10, 2024

References



Presentation Outline

1 Background

- Online Learning
- Online-Within-Online (OWO) Meta Learning
- 2 Improved Regret Bounds for OWO Meta Learning
 - Regret Bounds for Non-Convex Piecewise Lipschitz Functions
 - Regret Bounds for Non-Convex Non-Lipschitz Functions

³Generalization Bounds for Meta Learning via Regret Analysis

- Improved Transfer Risk Bounds for Statistical Meta Learning
- PAC-Bayes Generalization Bounds for Statistical Multi-Task Learning

4 Experiment

• Convergence Analysis of Task-Averaged Regret

Conclusion

Background	Regret Bounds	Generalization Bounds via Regret Analysis	Experiment	Conclusion	References
0	0000				

Basic Notations

- In online learning, an action space Θ is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^d .
- A loss function l : ⊖ → ℝ_{≥0} is called uniformly L-Lipschitz over ⊖ w.r.t. the norm || · ||, if for any x, y ∈ ⊖, |l(x) - l(y)| ≤ L||x - y||.
- Let $\mathcal{P}(\Theta)$ be the set of all probability distributions over action space Θ . For any $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\Theta)$, we use $\langle \rho, \ell \rangle = \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim \rho} \ell(\theta)$ for brevity.



Non-Convex Online Learning

- At each round $i \in [m]$, the online learner selects an action $\theta_i \in \Theta$, receives a loss function $\ell_i(\cdot) : \Theta \mapsto \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, and suffers loss $\ell_i(\theta_i)$.
- The quantity used to measure performance of online learner is the regret $R_m \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^m \ell_i(\theta) \ell_i(\theta^*)$, where $\theta^* \in \arg \min_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{i=1}^m \ell_i(\theta)$.
- In non-convex online learning, at each round $i \in []$ we need to add randomness into the algorithm, otherwise there exists setting where using any algorithm obtains vacuous regret $R_m = O(m)$.
- We choose action drawn from distribution $\rho_i \in \mathcal{P}(\Theta)$. The regret is $R_m \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim \rho_i} \ell_i(\theta) \ell_i(\theta^*), \ \theta^* \in \arg\min_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim \rho_i} \ell_i(\theta).$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト



Online-Within-Online (OWO) Meta Learning

- In non-convex OWO meta learning setting, the online meta-learner will encounter T tasks with each composed of functions {ℓ_{ti}}_{i∈[m]}.
- Concretely, at *i*-th round of the *t*-th task, the online meta-learner selects an action $\theta_{ti} \in \Theta$, and then suffers the loss $\ell_{ti}(\theta_{ti})$.
- The quantity to measure the performance of online meta-learner is the following task-averaged regret [1, 2]:

$$\bar{R}_{T,m} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} R_{t,m} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim \rho_{ti}} \ell_{ti}(\theta) - \ell_{ti}(\theta_t^*).$$



Regret Bound Decomposition Framework for Non-Convex OWO Meta Learning

- Non-convex algorithms: online mirror descent (OMD), exponential weighted aggregation (EWA), follow the perturbed leader (FTPL).
- The regret upper bound for many non-convex algorithms (e.g. EWA) at task $t \in [T]$ has the form: $U_t(\rho_{t1}, \lambda_t) = mb\lambda_t + \frac{V(\rho_{t1}, \rho_t^*)^2}{\lambda_t}$, where b > 0, λ_t is the step size, $\rho_{t1} \in \mathcal{P}(\Theta)$ the initialization distribution over Θ , $V(\rho_{t1}, \rho_t^*)^2$ the non-negative function of ρ_{t1} and ρ_t^* .
- Let $\lambda = v/\sqrt{mb}$ for v > 0, then $U_t(\rho, v) = (v + \frac{f_t(\rho)}{v})\sqrt{mb}$. The regret bound decomposition framework consists of two processes: one is to minimize $\{f_s(\rho) = V(\rho, \rho_s^*)^2\}_{s \in [t-1]}$ to determine the initialization distribution ρ_{t1} for task t, another is to minimize $\{h_s(v) = v + f_s(\rho_{s1})/v\}_{s \in [t-1]}$ to determine the step size v_t .



0000

Conclusion

References

General Regret Bound for Non-Convex OWO Meta Learning

Theorem 2.1

Assume the upper regret bound for task $t \in [T]$ has the form $U_t(\rho, v) = (v + v)$ $\frac{f_t(\rho)}{m}$) $\sqrt{mb} + g(m)$. Assume we have a sub-algorithm that achieves $F_T(\rho)$ regret w.r.t. any $\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\Theta)$ by setting distributions ρ_{t1} on $f_t(\rho) = V(\rho, \rho_t^*)^2$, and another sub-algorithm that achieves non-increasing $H_T(v)$ regret w.r.t. any v > 0 by playing actions $v_t > 0$ on $h_t(v) = v + \frac{f_t(\rho_{t1})}{v}$ for all $t \in [T]$. Then, running the OWO meta learning algorithm with the step size v_t/\sqrt{mb} and initialization ρ_{t1} at each task t, for $\rho^* = \arg \min_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\Theta)} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t(\rho)$ the optimal initialization and V the task-similarity, we get the task-averaged regret upper bound:

$$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\langle \rho_{ti}-\rho_{t}^{*},\ell_{ti}\rangle \leq \left(\frac{H_{T}(V)}{T}+\min\{\frac{F_{T}(\rho^{*})}{VT},2\sqrt{\frac{F_{T}(\rho^{*})}{T}}\}+2V\right)\sqrt{mb}+g(m).$$

General Regret Bound for Learning Step Size in Non-Convex OWO Meta Learning

For learning the step size v_t , we consistently use Follow-The-Leader (FTL) algorithm to achieve the following logarithmic regret bound.

Proposition 1

Assume that FTL algorithm runs on the sequence of functions $\{h_t(v) = v + \frac{f_t(\rho_{t1})}{v}\}_{t \in [T]}$ over the domain [0, D], where $D^2 \ge \max_{t \in [T]} f_t(\rho_{t1})$, then we have the regret bound:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} h_t(v_t) - \min_{v \in [0,D]} \sum_{t=1}^{T} h_t(v) \le \frac{D^3}{4} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\left|1 - \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} f_t(\rho_{t1}) / \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} f_s(\rho_{s1})\right|^2}{\sum_{s=1}^{t} f_s(\rho_{s1})}.$$

Furthermore, if for all $t \in [T]$, $f_t(\rho_{t1}) \in [B^2, D^2]$ with $D \geq B > 0$, then we have the logarithmic regret upper bound: $\sum_{t=1}^{T} h_t(v_t) - \min_{v \in [0,D]} \sum_{t=1}^{T} h_t(v) \leq \frac{D^7}{4B^6} (\log T + 1).$

0000

Comparisons between Different Regret Bounds for OWO Meta Learning

Table 1: Different task-averaged regret bounds for OWO meta learning algorithms under different assumptions of loss functions $\{\ell_{ti}\}_{ti=1}^{T,m}$. T is the number of tasks, and m is the number of iterations per task. Concretely, the **task-averaged regret upper bound** = (**Bound I + Bound II +** V) \sqrt{m} , where **Bound I** is the regret upper bound for learning the initialization, **Bound II** is the regret upper bound for learning the step size, V represents the task similarity among different tasks, $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$. The explicit form of these regret bounds and task similarities are given in Table **B**.1 of the Appendix.

Existing Works	Task-Averaged Regret	Assumptions of ℓ_{ti}	Bound I	Bound II
Khodak et al. (2019)	$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t,i=1}^{T,m}\ell_{ti}(\theta_{ti})-\ell_{ti}(\theta_t^*)$	Convex & Uniformly Lipschitz	$O(\frac{\log T}{T})$	$O(\frac{\log T}{\sqrt{T}})$
Balcan et al. (2021)	$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t,i=1}^{T,m} \langle \rho_{ti}, \ell_{ti} \rangle - \ell_{ti}(\theta_t^*)$	Bounded & Piecewise Lipschitz	$O\bigl(\tfrac{m^{d/2}}{T^{1/4}}\bigr)$	$O\bigl(\tfrac{(\log m)\log T}{\sqrt{T}} \bigr)$
Our Theorem 2	$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t,i=1}^{T,m} \langle \rho_{ti}, \ell_{ti} \rangle - \ell_{ti}(\theta_t^*)$	Bounded & Piecewise Lipschitz	$O(\frac{1}{T^{1/2-\alpha}})$	$O\bigl(\tfrac{(\log T)^{9/2}}{T} \bigr)$
Our Theorem 3	$\frac{\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t,i=1}^{T,m} \langle \rho_{ti} - \rho_t^*, \ell_{ti} \rangle}{1}$	Bounded	$O(\frac{\log T}{T})$	$O(\frac{\log T}{T})$





Conclusion

References

Regret Bound Decomposition Framework for Non-Convex Piecewise Lipschitz Functions

Definition 2.2

(Piecewise Lipschitzness [3]) The sequence of random loss functions $\{\ell_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is piecewise *L*-Lipschitz (L > 0) that are β -dispersed, if $\forall m, \forall \epsilon \geq m^{-\beta}$, in expectation over the randomness of the loss functions, we have $\mathbb{E}[\max_{\|\theta - \theta'\|_2 \leq \epsilon} |\{i \in [m] \mid \ell_i(\theta) - \ell_i(\theta') > L \|\theta - \theta'\|_2\}|] \leq \tilde{O}(\epsilon m).$

 With *M*-bounded piecewise Lipschitz functions, the regret bound for EWA algorithm with the initialization ρ₁ and the step size λ:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim \rho_i} \ell_i(\theta) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell_i(\theta^*) \leq \lambda M^2 m + \frac{V(\rho_1, \theta^*)^2}{\lambda} + \tilde{O}((L+1)m^{1-\beta}).$$

• Choose Follow-The-Regularized-Leader (FTRL) and FTL algorithms to learn initialization ρ_{t1} and step size v_t respectively for task t.



Algorithm 1 Non-convex OWO meta learning algorithm for bounded piecewise Lipschitz functions.

- 1: Input: step size η for FTRL, mixture parameter $\gamma \in (0, 1]$, domain upper bound D; initialized distribution $\rho_{11} : \Theta \mapsto \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and initialized step size $\lambda_1 = \sqrt{(D^2 \log \gamma)/(mM^2)}$ for EWA.
- 2: for task $t \in [T]$ do
- 3: for round $i \in [m]$ do
- 4: Set $P_{ti} = \int_{\Theta} \rho_{ti}(\theta) d\theta$ and sample θ_{ti} with probability $p_{ti}(\theta_{ti}) = \rho_{ti}(\theta_{ti})/P_{ti}$
- 5: Suffer loss $\ell_{ti}(\theta_{ti})$, observe $\ell_{ti}(\cdot)$, and update $\rho_{t,i+1}(\theta) = e^{-\lambda_t \ell_{ti}(\theta)} \rho_{ti}(\theta)$ // EWA step 6: Sample θ_t^* with probability p_{tm} and obtain task-t optimum $\theta_t^* \in \Theta$
- 7: Set $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}(\theta_t^*, m^{-\beta})}$ to be the function that is 1 in the ball $\mathcal{B}(\theta_t^*, m^{-\beta})$ and otherwise 0

8: Update $\rho_{t+1,1}$ to $\rho_{t+1}(t) = \arg \min_{\|\rho\|_1=1, \rho \ge \gamma \hat{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(t)} \operatorname{KL}(\rho \| \hat{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(t)) - \eta \sum_{s \le t} \log \langle \rho_s^*(t), \rho \rangle$, $\lambda_{t+1} = \sqrt{\frac{-\sum_{s \le t} \log \langle \rho_s^*(s), \rho_s(s) \rangle}{4\pi N^2}}$ // meta-update step



Bounds for Learning Initialization and Step Size

Proposition 2

Assume that FTRL algorithm with initialization $\hat{\nu}$ and regularization $\mathsf{KL}(\rho||\hat{\nu})$ runs on functions $\{f_t(\rho_t)\}_{t\in[T]}$ over the set $\Delta = \{\rho : \|\rho\|_1 = 1, \rho \ge \gamma \hat{\nu}\}$, then $\sum_{t=1}^T f_t(\rho_t) - f_t(\rho^*) \le 2GL\sqrt{T}/\gamma$, where $\rho^* \in \arg\min_{\rho\in\Delta}\sum_{t=1}^T f_t(\rho), \ G^2 \ge KL(\rho^*||\hat{\nu}), L^2 = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\Theta)^2}{\mathrm{vol}(\Theta_t)^2}.$

Proposition 3

Assume that FTL algorithm runs on the sequence of functions $\{h_t(v) = v + \frac{f_t(\rho_{t1})}{v}\}_{t \in [T]}$ with $f_t(\rho_{t1}) = -\log \langle \rho_t^*, \rho_{t1} \rangle$ over the domain [0, D], where $D^2 = \max_{t \in [T]} \log \frac{\operatorname{vol}(\Theta)}{\operatorname{yvol}(\Theta_t)} \geq f_t(\rho_{t1})$, for any $t \in [T]$. Denote $B^2 = \min_{t \in [T]} \log \frac{\operatorname{vol}(\Theta)}{\operatorname{yvol}(\Theta_t) + (1 - \gamma)\operatorname{vol}(\Theta)}$. Then if we set $\gamma = m^{d\beta}/T^{\alpha} \in (0, 1]$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, we have the following regret upper bound: $\sum_{t=1}^T h_t(v_t) - \min_{v \in [0, D]} \sum_{t=1}^T h_t(v) \leq \frac{(\alpha \log T + \log (\operatorname{vol}(\Theta)/V_d))^{7/2} (\log T + 1)}{4B^6}.$

Improved Regret Bounds for Non-Convex OWO Meta Learning (ICLR2024)



ŏŏŏč

Regret Bounds for OWO Meta Learning Algorithms with Piecewise Lipschitz Functions

Theorem 2.3

Under the conditions of Theorem 2.1, for any task $t \in [T]$, let $\{\ell_{ti} : \Theta \mapsto$ $[0, M]_{i \in [m]}$ be a sequence of piecewise L-Lipschitz functions that are β dispersed. Set $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, let $g(m) = \tilde{O}((L+1)m^{1-\beta})$, then using FTRL algorithm in Proposition 2 and FTL algorithm in Proposition 3 respectively to learn the initialization and step size in Algorithm 1 obtains the regret upper bound:

$$\begin{split} \bar{R}_{T,m} \leq & g(m) + \sqrt{m}M \Big\{ \frac{(\alpha \log T + \log (\operatorname{vol}(\Theta)/V_d))^{7/2} (\log T + 1)}{4TB^6} \\ & + \min \big\{ \frac{\operatorname{vol}(\Theta)(\mathsf{KL}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^*||\hat{\boldsymbol{\nu}}) + 1)}{V_d V T^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha}}, 2\sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{vol}(\Theta)(\mathsf{KL}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^*||\hat{\boldsymbol{\nu}}) + 1)}{V_d T^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha}}} \big\} + 2V \Big] \end{split}$$

Improved Regret Bounds for Non-Convex OWO Meta Learning (ICLR2024)



Experiment

Conclusion

References

Regret Bound Decomposition Framework for Non-Convex Non-Lipschitz Functions

• EWA has the following regret upper bound [4]:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \langle \rho_i - \rho, \ell_i \rangle \leq \lambda M^2 m + \frac{\mathsf{KL}(\rho || \rho_1)}{\lambda}, \quad \forall \rho \in \mathcal{P}(\Theta).$$

• Use FTL algorithm to learn initialization ρ_{t1} and step size v_t in task t.

Algorithm 2 Non-convex OWO meta learning algorithm for bounded non-Lipschitz functions.

- 1: **Input:** initialized distribution $\rho_{11} \in \mathcal{P}(\Theta)$ and learning rate $\lambda_1 > 0$.
- 2: for task $t \in [T]$ do
- 3: **for** round $i \in [m]$ **do**

4:
$$\rho_{ti} = \arg\min_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\Theta)} \operatorname{KL}(\rho || \rho_{t1}) + \lambda_t \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \langle \ell_{tj}, \rho \rangle$$
 // EWA step

5: Suffer loss $\langle \rho_{ti}, \ell_{ti} \rangle$ and observe $\ell_{ti}(\cdot)$

6: Update
$$\rho_{t+1,1} = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=1}^{t} \rho_{sm}, \lambda_{t+1} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{s=1}^{t} \text{KL}(\rho_{sm} || \rho_{s1})}{tmM^2}}$$
 // meta-update step

A B A B A B A B A
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A



Bounds for Learning Initialization and Step Size

Proposition 4

ŏŏŏč

Given a sequence of distributions $\{\rho_t^*\}_{t\in[T]}$, assume that FTL algorithm runs on the sequence of $\{KL(\rho_t^*||\rho)\}_{t\in[T]}$ to determine ρ , i.e. $\rho_{t1} = \arg\min_{\rho\in\mathcal{P}(\Theta)}\sum_{s=1}^{t-1} KL(\rho_s^*||\rho)$, and further assume $G^2 \geq \max_{t\in[T]} \chi^2(\rho_t^*||\rho_{t1})$, then we can obtain the following regret upper bound:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathsf{KL}(\rho_{t}^{*}||\rho_{t1}) - \min_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}(\Theta)} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathsf{KL}(\rho_{t}^{*}||\rho) \leq \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\chi^{2}(\rho_{t}^{*}||\rho_{t1})}{t} \leq G^{2}(\log T + 1).$$

Proposition 5

Given a sequence of functions $\{f_t(\rho_{t1}) = \mathsf{KL}(\rho_t^*||\rho_{t1})\}_{t\in[T]}$, assume there exist $D^2 \ge \max_{t\in[T]}\mathsf{KL}(\rho_t^*||\rho_{t1}), B^2 \le \min_{t\in[T]}\mathsf{KL}(\rho_t^*||\rho_{t1})$ with B > 0. Assume FTL algorithm runs on functions $\{h_t(v) = v + \frac{f_t(\rho_{t1})}{v}\}_{t\in[T]}$ over domain [0, D]. Then $\sum_{t=1}^T h_t(v_t) - \min_{v\in[0,D]}\sum_{t=1}^T h_t(v) \le \frac{D^7}{4B^6}(\log T + 1).$

Improved Regret Bounds for Non-Convex OWO Meta Learning (ICLR2024)





Generalization Bounds via Regret Analysis

Experiment

Conclusion

References

Regret Bounds for OWO Meta Learning Algorithms with Non-Lipschitz Functions

Theorem 2.4

Under the conditions of Theorem 2.1, for any task $t \in [T]$, let $\{\ell_{ti} : \Theta \mapsto [0, M]\}_{i \in [m]}$ be a sequence of M-bounded functions. Let $G^2 \ge \max_{t \in [T]} \chi^2(\rho_t^* || \rho_{t1}), D^2 \ge \max_{t \in [T]} \mathsf{KL}(\rho_t^* || \rho_{t1})$ and $\min_{t \in [T]} \mathsf{KL}(\rho_t^* || \rho_{t1}) \ge B^2 > 0$, then using FTL algorithm to respectively learn the initialization and step size of EWA algorithm in Algorithm 2 attains the task-averaged regret upper bound:

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \langle \rho_{ti} - \rho_t^*, \ell_{ti} \rangle \leq \left(\frac{D^7 (\log T + 1)}{4 T B^6} + \min\{ \frac{G^2 (\log T + 1)}{VT}, 2G \sqrt{\frac{\log T + 1}{T}} \} + 2V \right) \sqrt{m} M.$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



Transfer Risk Bounds for Batch Meta Learning

Theorem 3.1

Assume that for each task $t \in [T]$, there exist $G^2 \ge \max_{t \in [T]} \chi^2(\rho_t^* || \rho_{t1})$, and $\min_{t \in [T]} \operatorname{KL}(\rho_t^* || \rho_{t1}) \ge B^2 > 0$. Assume that the novel task consists of loss functions $\{\ell_i\}_{i \in [m]} \xrightarrow{i.i.d.} \mu, \mu \xrightarrow{i.i.d.} \tau$, and for any optimal distribution ρ^* over task μ , there exists H > 0 such that $\operatorname{KL}(\rho^* || \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \rho_{t1}) \le H$. Then we use $(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \rho_{t1}, \sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \operatorname{KL}(\rho_t^* || \rho_{t1})/(TmM^2)})$ to run EWA algorithm for novel task $\mu \sim \tau$ with loss functions $\{\ell_i\}_{i \in [m]}$ to output probability distributions $\{\rho_i\}_{i \in [m]}$. Then let $\bar{\rho} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \rho_i$, for the optimal distribution ρ^* over task μ that does not dependent on $\{\ell_i\}_{i \in [m]}$, with probability $1 - \delta$ over the draw of probability distributions $\{\mu_t\}_{t=1}^T$:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{\mu \sim \tau} \mathbb{E}_{\{\ell_i\}_{i=1}^m \sim \mu^m} \mathbb{E}_{\ell \sim \mu} \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim \bar{\rho}} \ell(\theta) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mu \sim \tau} \mathbb{E}_{\ell \sim \mu} \mathbb{E}_{\theta \sim \rho^*} \ell(\theta) \\ + M(\sqrt{\frac{4G^2 \log T}{Tm}} + \frac{3V^2}{\sqrt{mB}} + \frac{H}{B} \sqrt{\frac{\log 1/\delta}{2Tm}}). \end{split}$$



PAC-Bayes Generalization Bounds for Statistical Multi-Task Learning

Proposition 6

Let
$$\bar{R}_{T,m} = \sum_{t,i=1}^{T,m} \langle \rho_{ti} - \mathcal{A}_t(S_t), \frac{\ell_{ti}}{T} \rangle$$
, then $\sum_{t=1}^{T} \overline{\operatorname{gen}}(\mathcal{A}_t, S_t) = \frac{\bar{R}_{T,m}}{m} - \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathcal{M}_{\Pi_{tm}}$.

Use $\bar{U}_{T,m}$ to bound $\bar{R}_{T,m}$, and concentration inequality to bound $\sum_t M_{\Pi_{tm}}$, then

Theorem 3.2

Let A_t be the statistical learning algorithm for task $t \in [T]$. Assume that for all actions $\theta \in \Theta$, samples $z \in \mathcal{Z}$, $\ell(\theta, z) \in [0, M]$. Then, with probability at least $1 - \delta$ over the draw of $\{S_t\}_{t \in [T]}$, the multi-task generalization error of statistical learning algorithms $\{A_t\}_{t \in [T]}$ satisfies:

$$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\mathbb{E}_{\theta\sim\mathcal{A}_t(S_t)}\Big[\mathbb{E}_{z\sim\mu_t}\ell(\theta,z)-\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{T}\ell(\theta,z_{ti})\Big]\leq \frac{\bar{U}_{T,m}}{m}+M\sqrt{\frac{2\log\frac{1}{\delta}}{Tm}}.$$

Improved Regret Bounds for Non-Convex OWO Meta Learning (ICLR2024)





Conclusions and Future Works

Our contributions for non-convex OWO meta learning are four-fold:

- We improve regret bound from $O((\frac{\sqrt{m}}{T^{1/4}} + \frac{(\log m)\log T}{\sqrt{T}} + V)\sqrt{m})$ to $O((\frac{1}{T^{1/2-\alpha}} + \frac{(\log T)^{9/2}}{T} + V)\sqrt{m}) \ (\alpha \in (0, 1/2))$ for bounded and piecewise Lipschitz functions.
- We design a new and efficient OWO meta learning algorithm of sharper regret bound $O((\frac{\log T}{T} + V)\sqrt{m})$ for bounded functions.
- We obtain a new transfer risk bound for statistical meta learning via regret analysis.
- We derive a PAC-Bayes bound for multi-task learning, shedding light on proving PAC-Bayes multi-task generalization bound with the regret bound from non-convex OWO meta learning.

크

・ロ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

Background	Regret Bounds	Generalization Bounds via Regret Analysis	Experiment	Conclusion ○●	References
		I hanks!			
			<	(E) < E)	ह ୬୯୯
			< - > < d7 >	(2)(2)	E کر

Improved Regret Bounds for Non-Convex OWO Meta Learning (ICLR2024) Jiech

Background Regret Bounds Generalization Bounds via Regret Analysis Experiment Conclusion References	zation Bounds via Regret Analysis Experiment Conclusion References 00	Experiment Con	t Analysis	Generalization	Regret Bounds	Background
---	---	----------------	------------	----------------	---------------	------------

References

- Mikhail Khodak, Maria-Florina Balcan, and Ameet Talwalkar. "Adaptive Gradient-Based Meta-Learning Methods". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS). 2019, pp. 5915–5926.
- [2] Maria-Florina Balcan et al. "Learning-to-learn non-convex piecewise-Lipschitz functions". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS). 2021, pp. 15056–15069.
- [3] Maria-Florina Balcan, Travis Dick, and Ellen Vitercik. "Dispersion for Data-Driven Algorithm Design, Online Learning, and Private Optimization". In: IEEE Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS). 2018, pp. 603–614.
- [4] Pierre Alquier. "Non-Exponentially Weighted Aggregation: Regret Bounds for Unbounded Loss Functions". In: *International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*. 2021, pp. 207–218.