## LDReg: Local Dimensionality Regularized SelfSupervised Learning

Hanxun Huang¹, Ricardo JGB Campello², Sarah Erfani¹, Xingjun Ma³, Michael E Houle ${ }^{4}$, James Bailey ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ The University of Melbourne, Australia
${ }^{2}$ University of Southern Denmark, Denmark
${ }^{3}$ Fudan University, China
${ }^{4}$ New Jersey Institute of Technology, USA


To appear in International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR) 2023
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## Background: dimension collapse

Representation dimension

- Total number of variables of the representation space
- E.g. 3D space of this room

Intrinsic dimension

- Minimal number of variable to describe the data
- How many features (variables) are needed to describe where everyone is sitting in this room?
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## Generative:

# Alleviate global collapse 

(Zhuo, Zhijian, et al. 2023)

- Masked image modeling: MAE

Question: no more dimension collapse?

## Question: no more dimension collapse?

In this room:

- How many features are needed to describe your position with the person sitting next to you?


## Background: local intrinsic dimensionality (LID)

Let $F$ be a real-valued function that is non-zero over some open interval containing $r \in \mathbb{R}, r \neq 0$.
Definition 1 ([33]). The intrinsic dimensionality of $F$ at $r$ is defined as follows, whenever the limit exists:

$$
\operatorname{IntrDim}_{F}(r) \triangleq \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln (F((1+\epsilon) r) / F(r))}{\ln ((1+\epsilon) r) / r)}
$$

Theorem 1 ([33]). If $F$ is continuously differentiable at $r$, then

$$
\mathrm{ID}_{F}(r) \triangleq \frac{r \cdot F^{\prime}(r)}{F(r)}=\operatorname{IntrDim}_{F}(r)
$$

Houle, Michael E. "Local intrinsic dimensionality I: an extreme-value-theoretic foundation for similarity applications." SISÅP 2017.

## Background: local intrinsic dimensionality (LID)

Theorem 2 (LID Representation Theorem [33]). Let $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real-valued function, and assume that $\mathrm{ID}_{F}^{*}$ exists. Let $x$ and $w$ be values for which $x / w$ and $F(x) / F(w)$ are both positive. If $F$ is non-zero and continuously differentiable everywhere in the interval $[\min \{x, w\}, \max \{x, w\}]$, then

$$
\frac{F(x)}{F(w)}=\left(\frac{x}{w}\right)^{\mathrm{ID}_{F}^{*}} \cdot A_{F}(x, w), \quad \text { where } \quad A_{F}(x, w) \triangleq \exp \left(\int_{x}^{w} \frac{\mathrm{ID}_{F}^{*}-\mathrm{ID}_{F}(t)}{t} \mathrm{~d} t\right)
$$

whenever the integral exists.

- Space filling capability of the region surrounding an example
- Intrinsic dimensionality of the surroundings neighbors

Houle, Michael E. "Local intrinsic dimensionality I: an extreme-value-theoretic foundation for similarity applications." SISAP 2017.

## Question: no more dimension collapse?
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(c) Local dimensional collapse
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(a) Local collapse

(b) No local collapse

(c) Local dimensional collapse

## Local dimension

 collapse!
## Question: how to regularize LID?

- Need a metric to measures the "distance" between LID distributions
- Fisher-Rao metric:

$$
\mathcal{I}_{w}(\theta)=\int_{0}^{w}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln F_{w}^{\prime}(x \mid \theta)\right)^{2} F_{w}^{\prime}(x \mid \theta) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Lemma 1. Consider the family of distributions on $[0, w]$ parameterized by $\theta$, whose CDFs are smooth growth functions of the form

$$
H_{w \mid \theta}(x)=\left(\frac{x}{w}\right)^{\theta}
$$

The Fisher-Rao distance $d_{\mathrm{FR}}$ between $H_{w \mid \theta_{1}}$ and $H_{w \mid \theta_{2}}$ is

$$
d_{\mathrm{FR}}\left(H_{w \mid \theta_{1}}, H_{w \mid \theta_{2}}\right)=\left|\ln \frac{\theta_{2}}{\theta_{1}}\right|
$$

## Local Dimensionality Regularization (LDReg)

Definition 3. Given two smooth-growth distance distributions with CDFs $F$ and $G$, their asymptotic Fisher-Rao distance is given by

$$
d_{\mathrm{AFR}}(F, G) \triangleq \lim _{w \rightarrow 0^{+}} d_{\mathrm{FR}}\left(H_{w \mid \mathrm{ID}_{F}^{*}}, H_{w \mid \mathrm{ID}_{G}^{*}}\right)=\left|\ln \frac{\mathrm{ID}_{G}^{*}}{\mathrm{DD}_{F}^{*}}\right| .
$$

## Local Dimensionality Regularization (LDReg)

Our dimensionality $L_{1}$ regularization for SSL corresponds to minimizing the negative log of the geometric mean of the ID values (recall Corollary 3.1). We assume that $\mathrm{ID}_{F_{w}^{i}}^{*}$ is desired to be $\geq 1$.

$$
\max \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} \lim _{w \rightarrow 0} d_{\mathrm{AFR}}\left(F_{w}^{i}(r), U_{1, w}(r)\right)=\max \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N}\left|\ln \frac{\mathrm{ID}_{F_{w}^{i}}^{*}}{1}\right|=\min \left(-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} \ln \mathrm{ID}_{F_{w}^{i}}^{*}\right) .
$$

Make the learned representation away from uniform distance distribution (LID = 1)

## Experiments

Representation quality is evaluated with linear probing on frozen encoder
Dataset: ImageNet-1k
Contrastive:

- Sample contrastive: SimCLR, SimCLR-Tuned
- Asymmetrical model: BYOL

Generative:

- Masked image modeling: MAE


## Increases both local and global intrinsic dimensions


(c) Effective rank

(D) Geometric mean of LID

## Evaluations

Table 1: The linear evaluation results (accuracy (\%)) of different methods with and without LDReg. The effective rank is calculated on the ImageNet validation set. The best results are boldfaced.

| Model | Epochs | Method | Regularization | Linear Evaluation | Effective Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ResNet-50 | 100 | SimCLR |  | 64.3 | 470.2 |
|  |  | SimCLR | LDReg | 64.8 | 529.6 |
|  |  | SimCLR | - | 67.2 | 525.8 |
|  |  | (Tuned) | LDReg | 67.5 | 561.7 |
|  |  |  | - | 67.6 | 583.8 |
|  |  | BYOL | LDReg | 68.5 | 594.0 |
| ViT-B | 200 | SimCLR | - | 72.9 | 283.7 |
|  |  | SimCLR | LDReg | 73.0 | 326.1 |
|  |  | MAE |  | 57.0 | 86.4 |
|  |  |  | LDReg | 57.6 | 154.1 |

Consistent improvement on existing SSL methods

## Evaluations

Table 2: The transfer learning results in terms of linear probing accuracy (\%), using ResNet-50 as the encoder. The best results are boldfaced.

| Method | Regularization | Batch Size | Epochs | ImageNet | Food-101 | CIFAR-10 | CIFAR-100 | Birdsnap | Cars | DTD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SimCLR |  | 2048 | 100 | 64.3 | 69.0 | 89.1 | 71.2 | 32.0 | 36.7 | 67.8 |
|  | LDReg |  |  | 64.8 | 69.1 | 89.2 | 70.6 | 33.4 | 37.3 | 67.7 |
|  | - | 4096 | 1000 | 69.0 | 71.1 | 90.1 | 71.6 | 37.5 | 35.3 | 70.7 |
|  | LDReg |  |  | 69.8 | 73.3 | 91.8 | 75.1 | 38.7 | 41.6 | 70.8 |

Consistent improvement on transfer learning

## Evaluations

Table 3: The performance of the pre-trained models (ResNet-50) on object detection and instance segmentation tasks, when fine-tuned on COCO. The bounding-box ( $\mathrm{AP}^{\mathrm{bb}}$ ) and mask ( $\mathrm{AP}^{\mathrm{mk}}$ ) average precision are reported with the best results are boldfaced.

| Method | Regularization | Epochs | Batch Size | Object Detection |  |  | Segmentation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{AP}^{\mathrm{bb}}$ | $\mathrm{AP}_{50}^{\mathrm{bb}}$ | $\mathrm{AP}_{75}^{\mathrm{bb}}$ | $\mathrm{AP}^{\mathrm{mk}}$ | $\mathrm{AP}_{50}^{\mathrm{mk}}$ | $\mathrm{AP}_{75}^{\mathrm{mk}}$ |
| SimCLR | - | 100 | 2048 | 35.24 | 55.05 | 37.88 | 31.30 | 51.70 | 32.82 |
| SimCLR | LDReg |  |  | 35.26 | 55.10 | 37.78 | 31.38 | 51.88 | 32.90 |
| BYOL | LDReg |  |  | 36.30 | 55.64 | 38.82 | 32.17 | 52.53 | 34.30 |
|  |  |  |  | 36.82 | 56.47 | 39.62 | 32.47 | 53.15 | 34.60 |
| SimCLR | - | 1000 | 4096 | 36.48 | 56.22 | 39.28 | 32.12 | 52.70 | 34.02 |
|  | LDReg |  |  | 37.15 | 57.20 | 39.82 | 32.82 | 53.81 | 34.74 |

Consistent improvement on finetuning tasks

## Local collapse triggering complete collapse

Min LID: using Fisher-Rao metric, making LID lower

$$
\min \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} \ln \operatorname{LID}_{F_{w}^{i}}^{*}\right)
$$

Table 13: Comparing the results of linear evaluations of regularization terms of LDReg, MinLID and baseline. All models are trained on ImageNet for 100 epochs using ResNet-50 as encoder. The results are reported as linear probing accuracy (\%) on ImageNet.

| Method | Regularization | $\beta$ | Linear Acc | Effective Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LDReg | 0.01 | 64.8 | 529.6 |
|  | - | - | 64.3 | 470.2 |
| SimCLR | Min LID | 0.01 | 64.2 | 150.7 |
|  | Min LID | 0.1 | 63.1 | 15.0 |
|  | Min LID | 1.0 | 46.4 | 1.0 |
|  | Min LID | 10.0 | Complete collapse | - |

## Contributions

- Mitigating dimensional collapse in SSL through LID.
- Theory to support the formulation of LID regularization.
- Consistent empirical improvement on standard SSL evaluations
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## Reference

## Code available on GitHub https://github.com/HanxunH/LDReg
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