



**ICLR**



## **A Unified and General Framework for Continual Learning**

Zhenyi Wang, Yan Li, Li Shen, Heng Huang

# A Unified Framework for Continual Learning

Table 1: A unified framework for CL. We define a generalized CL optimization objective as  $\mathcal{L}^{CL} = \mathcal{L}_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, y) + \alpha D_{\Phi}(h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{z}) + \beta D_{\Psi}(\theta, \theta_{old})$ . Where  $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{CE}(\mathbf{x}, y)$  is the loss function on new task,  $D_{\Phi}(h_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{z})$  is *output space* regularization represented as a Bregman divergence associated with function  $\Phi$ ,  $D_{\Psi}(\theta, \theta_{old})$  is *weight space* regularization represented as a Bregman divergence associated with function  $\Psi$ . Several existing representative CL methods can be recovered from this general optimization objective by setting different  $\Phi$ ,  $\Psi$  and Bregman divergence.

Category	Method	Ref	Recover Setting
Bayesian-based	VCL	Nguyen et al. (2018)	$\alpha = 0, \Psi(p) = \int p(\mathbf{x}) \log p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$
	NCL	Kao et al. (2021)	$\Phi(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \mathbf{p}_i \log \mathbf{p}_i, \Psi = \frac{1}{2} \ \theta\ ^2$
Regularization-based	EWC	Kirkpatrick et al. (2017)	$\alpha = 0, \Psi(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \theta^T F \theta$
	CPR	Cha et al. (2021)	$\Phi(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \mathbf{p}_i \log \mathbf{p}_i$
Memory-replay-based	ER	Chaudhry et al. (2019b)	$\beta = 0, \Phi(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \mathbf{p}_i \log \mathbf{p}_i$
	DER	Buzzega et al. (2020)	$\beta = 0, \Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \ \mathbf{x}\ ^2$
Novel CL method	Refresh Learning	Ours	Unlearn-relearn plug-in

# Refresh Learning for Continual Learning

---

- Forgetting can be beneficial for the human brain in various situations, as it helps in efficient information processing and decision-making.
- CL involves adapting to new tasks and acquiring new knowledge over time. If a model were to remember every detail from all previous tasks, it could quickly become impractical and resource-intensive.
- Forgetting less relevant information helps in managing memory resources efficiently, allowing the model to focus on the most pertinent knowledge.

# Refresh Learning for Continual Learning

---

$$\min_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\rho_{opt}} \mathcal{L}^{CL} \quad (\text{relearn})$$

$$s.t. \quad \rho_{opt} = \min_{\rho} [\mathcal{E}(\rho) = -\mathbb{E}_{\rho} \mathcal{L}^{CL} + \mathbb{E}_{\rho} \log \rho] \quad (\text{unlearn})$$

---

## Algorithm 1 Refresh Learning for General CL.

---

- 1: **REQUIRE:** model parameters  $\theta$ , CL model learning rate  $\eta$ ,
  - 2: **for**  $k = 1$  to  $K$  **do** (number of CL steps)
  - 3:     **for**  $j = 1$  to  $J$  **do** (unlearn steps)
  - 4:          $\theta_k^j = \theta_k^{j-1} + \gamma[F^{-1}\nabla\mathcal{L}^{CL}(\theta_k^{j-1})] + \mathcal{N}(0, 2\gamma F^{-1})$
  - 5:     **end for**
  - 6:      $\theta_{k+1} = \theta_k - \eta\nabla\mathcal{L}^{CL}(\theta_k^j)$  (relearn step)
  - 7: **end for**
-

# Experiments

Table 2: **Task-IL** and **class-IL** overall accuracy on CIFAR10, CIFAR-100 and Tiny-ImageNet, respectively with memory size 500. '—' indicates not applicable.

Algorithm Method	CIFAR-10		CIFAR-100		Tiny-ImageNet	
	Class-IL	Task-IL	Class-IL	Task-IL	Class-IL	Task-IL
fine-tuning	$19.62 \pm 0.05$	$61.02 \pm 3.33$	$9.29 \pm 0.33$	$33.78 \pm 0.42$	$7.92 \pm 0.26$	$18.31 \pm 0.68$
Joint train	$92.20 \pm 0.15$	$98.31 \pm 0.12$	$71.32 \pm 0.21$	$91.31 \pm 0.17$	$59.99 \pm 0.19$	$82.04 \pm 0.10$
SI	$19.48 \pm 0.17$	$68.05 \pm 5.91$	$9.41 \pm 0.24$	$31.08 \pm 1.65$	$6.58 \pm 0.31$	$36.32 \pm 0.13$
LwF	$19.61 \pm 0.05$	$63.29 \pm 2.35$	$9.70 \pm 0.23$	$28.07 \pm 1.96$	$8.46 \pm 0.22$	$15.85 \pm 0.58$
NCL	$19.53 \pm 0.32$	$64.49 \pm 4.06$	$8.12 \pm 0.28$	$20.92 \pm 2.32$	$7.56 \pm 0.36$	$16.29 \pm 0.87$
GPM	—	$90.68 \pm 3.29$	—	$72.48 \pm 0.40$	—	—
UCB	—	$79.28 \pm 1.87$	—	$57.15 \pm 1.67$	—	—
HAT	—	$92.56 \pm 0.78$	—	$72.06 \pm 0.50$	—	—
A-GEM	$22.67 \pm 0.57$	$89.48 \pm 1.45$	$9.30 \pm 0.32$	$48.06 \pm 0.57$	$8.06 \pm 0.04$	$25.33 \pm 0.49$
GSS	$49.73 \pm 4.78$	$91.02 \pm 1.57$	$13.60 \pm 2.98$	$57.50 \pm 1.93$	—	—
HAL	$41.79 \pm 4.46$	$84.54 \pm 2.36$	$9.05 \pm 2.76$	$42.94 \pm 1.80$	—	—
oEWC	$19.49 \pm 0.12$	$64.31 \pm 4.31$	$8.24 \pm 0.21$	$21.2 \pm 2.08$	$7.42 \pm 0.31$	$15.19 \pm 0.82$
oEWC+refresh	<b><math>20.37 \pm 0.65</math></b>	<b><math>66.89 \pm 2.57</math></b>	<b><math>8.78 \pm 0.42</math></b>	<b><math>23.31 \pm 1.87</math></b>	<b><math>7.83 \pm 0.15</math></b>	<b><math>17.32 \pm 0.85</math></b>
CPR(EWC)	$19.61 \pm 3.67$	$65.23 \pm 3.87$	$8.42 \pm 0.37$	$21.43 \pm 2.57$	$7.67 \pm 0.23$	$15.58 \pm 0.91$
CPR(EWC)+refresh	<b><math>20.53 \pm 2.42</math></b>	<b><math>67.36 \pm 3.68</math></b>	<b><math>9.06 \pm 0.58</math></b>	<b><math>22.90 \pm 1.71</math></b>	<b><math>8.06 \pm 0.43</math></b>	<b><math>17.90 \pm 0.77</math></b>
ER	$57.74 \pm 0.27$	$93.61 \pm 0.27$	$20.98 \pm 0.35$	$73.37 \pm 0.43$	$9.99 \pm 0.29$	$48.64 \pm 0.46$
ER+refresh	<b><math>61.86 \pm 1.35</math></b>	<b><math>94.15 \pm 0.46</math></b>	<b><math>22.23 \pm 0.73</math></b>	<b><math>75.45 \pm 0.67</math></b>	<b><math>11.09 \pm 0.46</math></b>	<b><math>50.85 \pm 0.53</math></b>
DER++	$72.70 \pm 1.36$	$93.88 \pm 0.50$	$36.37 \pm 0.85$	$75.64 \pm 0.60$	$19.38 \pm 1.41$	$51.91 \pm 0.68$
DER+++refresh	<b><math>74.42 \pm 0.82</math></b>	<b><math>94.64 \pm 0.38</math></b>	<b><math>38.49 \pm 0.76</math></b>	<b><math>77.71 \pm 0.85</math></b>	<b><math>20.81 \pm 1.28</math></b>	<b><math>54.06 \pm 0.79</math></b>

**Thank you**