FINE-TUNING ENHANCES EXISTING MECHANISMS

A Case Study on Entity Tracking





Nikhil Prakash

Tamar Rott Shaham



Tal Haklay



Yonatan Belinkov



David Bau







Background: Fine-tuning improves capabilities beyond training task

RLHF on English leads to instruction-following in French (Ouyang et al. 2022)



https://huggingface.co/blog/rlhf

Background: Fine-tuning improves capabilities beyond training task

Code fine-tuning improves Entity Tracking capabilities (Kim et al. 2023)



• Fine-tuning on generic domains such as code, mathematics, and instructions has been shown to enhance language models performance on multiple tasks.



The book is in Box D, the apple is in Box G, the brain is in Box H, \dots

Box G contains the _



The book is in Box D, the apple is in Box G, the brain is in Box H, ...

Box G contains the _



The book is in Box D, the apple is in Box G, the brain is in Box H, ...

Box G contains the _



The book is in Box D, the apple is in Box G, the brain is in Box H, ... **Box G contains the**

C C C Contains the <u>apple</u>

Model	Fine-tuned?	Full Model Performance
Llama-7B (Touvron et al. 2023)	_	0.66
Vicuna-7B (Chiang et al. 2023)	User conversations	0.67
Goat-7B (Liu & Low, 2023)	Arithmetic tasks (LoRA)	0.82
FLoat-7B	Arithmetic tasks (w/o LoRA)	0.82

Model	Fine-tuned?	Full Model Performance
Llama-7B (Touvron et al. 2023)	_	0.66
Vicuna-7B (Chiang et al. 2023)	User conversations	0.67
Goat-7B (Liu & Low, 2023)	Arithmetic tasks (LoRA)	0.82
FLoat-7B	Arithmetic tasks (w/o LoRA)	0.82

Base LM is not great at entity tracking.

Model	Fine-tuned?	Full Model Performance
Llama-7B (Touvron et al. 2023)	_	0.66
Vicuna-7B (Chiang et al. 2023)	User conversations	0.67
Goat-7B (Liu & Low, 2023)	Arithmetic tasks (LoRA)	0.82
FLoat-7B	Arithmetic tasks (w/o LoRA)	0.82

RLHF doesn't improve it much.

Model	Fine-tuned?	Full Model Performance
Llama-7B (Touvron et al. 2023)	_	0.66
Vicuna-7B (Chiang et al. 2023)	User conversations	0.67
Goat-7B (Liu & Low, 2023)	Arithmetic tasks (LoRA)	0.82
FLoat-7B	Arithmetic tasks (w/o LoRA)	0.82

Arithmetic fine-tuning improves it a lot.

• We study the ability of language model to perform in-context entity tracking, i.e. infer properties associated with an entity previously defined in the input context.

Llama-7B Vicuna-7E Goat-7E	Mechanistically explain why fine-tuned models perform entity tracking better than base model. 🗐	
	Animetic tasks (W/o LorkA) 0.62	

What is a Circuit?



Transformer Model

What is a Circuit?



Hypotheses: Is the same circuit present after fine-tuning?

- Fine-tuned models contain a **different circuit** for performing entity tracking.
- Fine-tuned models contains the **same circuit** as the base model.



What are the important edges in a computational graph?











Edges that exhibited the greatest degradation in logit values.



Entity Tracking Circuit in Llama-7B



Faithfulness Metric for Evaluating Circuits



Faithfulness Metric for Evaluating Circuits

Faithfulness of circuit C =
$$\frac{F(C)}{F(M)}$$
 = $\frac{F(C)}{F(M)}$ =

Identified Circuit Can Recover Entire Model Performance

		Accuracy			
Model	Fine-tuned?	Full Model	Circuit	Random Circuit	Faithfulness
Llama-7B	-	0.66	0.66	0.00	1.00

Amazing! 75/55296 (<0.1%) attention heads recovers 100% of the model performance.

Identified LLaMa-7B Circuit also Present in Fine-tuned models

		Accuracy			
Model	Fine-tuned?	Full Model	Circuit	Random Circuit	Faithfulness
Llama-7B	_	0.66	0.66	0.00	1.00
Vicuna-7B	User conversations	0.67	0.65	0.00	0.97
Goat-7B	Arithmetic tasks (LoRA)	0.82	0.73	0.01	0.89
FLoat-7B	Arithmetic tasks (w/o LoRA)	0.82	0.72	0.01	0.88

Llama-7B circuit can restore at least 88% of the entire fine-tuned models' performance.

• The same circuit can also restore at least 88% of the overall performance of the entire fine-tuned models.

Mode Llama-1	Exactly same circuit roughly Mode Constitutes the entity-tracking circuit in the base and fine-tuned models! Vicuna-				uit s! 0.97
Goat-7B	(LoRA)	0.02	0.75	0.01	0.89

Hypotheses: Is circuit functionality the same after fine-tuning?

- Same circuit components have **varied functionality** in base and fine-tuned models.
- Same circuit implements **same mechanism**, but with an enhanced functionality.

Describing Circuit Components' Functionalities



Describing Circuit Components' Functionalities



Describing Circuit Components' Functionalities











Group A heads Fetch Value of Correct Object

We call them **Value Fetcher** heads.



Entity Tracking Circuit in Llama-7B



Group B heads Transmit Position of Correct Object

We call them **Position Transmitter** heads.



Entity Tracking Circuit in Llama-7B



Group C heads Detect Position of Correct Object

We call them **Position Detector** heads.



Entity Tracking Circuit in Llama-7B



Group D heads Functionality Remains Mystery

We call them **Structure Reader** heads.



Entity Tracking Mechanism



Functionality Remain Consistent Across Models

• Group A heads fetches value information across models.



Functionality Remain Consistent Across Models

• Group B heads transmit positional information across models.



Functionality Remain Consistent Across Models



Mechanism Remain Consistent Across Models

Model	Circuit	Faithfulness
Llama-7B	0.66	1.00
Vicuna-7B	0.65	0.97
Goat-7B	0.73	0.89
FLoat-7B	0.72	0.88





l Object Position Label

Object Pos

Cross-Model Activation Patching (CMAP)





Cross-Model Activation Patching (CMAP)



Goat-7B and FLoat-7B Have Enhanced Sub-mechanisms

- Activations are compatible across models.
- Improved representation of the correct object in fine-tuned models.
- Augmented positional information in fine-tuned models.





FINE-TUNING ENHANCES EXISTING MECHANISMS

A Case Study On Entity Tracking



finetuning.baulab.info



arxiv.org/abs/2402.14811







