

The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations



# Physics-Regulated Deep Reinforcement Learning: Invariant Embeddings

Hongpeng Cao<sup>1</sup>, Yanbing Mao<sup>2</sup>, Lui Sha<sup>3</sup> and Marco Caccamo<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Technical University of Munich (TUM), Munich, Germany <sup>2</sup>Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, USA <sup>3</sup>University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign (UIUC), Urbana, IL, USA <sup>4</sup>Munich Institute of Robotics and Machine Intelligence, Munich, Germany





## **Motivations**



### Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL) in **Safety-Critical** Applications

Industry applications [2]



Autonomous driving [1]

Autonomous Exploration [3]

Autonomous Flight [4]

[1] https://bernardmarr.com/how-tesla-is-using-artificial-intelligence-to-create-the-autonomous-cars-of-the-future/

[2] Liu, Quan, et al. "Deep reinforcement learning-based safe interaction for industrial human-robot collaboration using intrinsic reward function." Advanced Engineering Informatics 49 (2021): 101360.

[3] Lee, Joonho, et al. "Learning quadrupedal locomotion over challenging terrain." Science robotics 5.47 (2020): eabc5986.

[4] https://www.traveldailymedia.com/autonomous-aircraft-market-research/

## **Motivations**



#### **Unsolved problems:**

- Safety and stability:
  - Hard to verify DNNs due to high dimensionalities and high nonlinearities.
  - Hard to predict the output of DNNs due to the vulnerability to the disturbances.
  - Purely data-driven DNN applied to physical systems can infer relations violating physics laws.

## Sampling complexity:

- High demand of training data.
- Unsafe explorations.

Can we use the physics knowledge about the system to 'regulate' DRL to make it safer and more reliable in Safety-Critical Applications?

## Contributions

#### **Overview:**



#### Phy-DRL: A Physics-regulated Deep Reinforcement Learning Framework



#### **Invariant Embedding 1**

#### **Residual Action Policy:**

Integrating data-driven DRL action policy and physics-model-based action policy.

#### **Invariant Embedding 2**

#### Safety-Embedded Reward:

In conjunction with the Residual Action Policy, empowers the Phy-DRL with a mathematically provable safety guarantee and fast training.

#### **Invariant Embedding 3**

#### Physics-Knowledge-Enhanced Critic and Actor Networks:

Including input augmentation and network editing for guaranteeing strict compliance with available knowledge about the actionvalue function and action policy.

# **Residual Action Policy**



Integrating data-driven DRL action policy and physics-model-based action policy.



**Real plant**  $\mathbf{s}(k+1) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}(k) + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{a}(k) + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}(k), \mathbf{a}(k)),$  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ Safety constraints  $\mathbb{X} \triangleq \left\{ \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n | \, \underline{\mathbf{v}} \leq \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{v} \leq \overline{\mathbf{v}} \right\},\$ **Physics-Model-Based Policy** Safety envelope  $\Omega \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \left\{ \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n | \mathbf{s}^\top \mathbf{P} \mathbf{s} \le 1, \ \mathbf{P} \succ 0 \right\}.$ Obtained by computing feedback Matrix F  $\mathbf{a}_{phy}(k) = \mathbf{Fs}(k)$ , where **F** is obtained via solving LMIs **DRL Policy** Learned by maximizing the expected return  $\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{drl}}(k) = \arg\max \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{s}(k)\sim\rho, \ \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{drl}}(k)\sim\pi} \left| \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{t-k} \cdot \mathcal{R}\left(\mathbf{s}(t), \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{drl}}(t)\right) \right|$ 

## **Safety Embedded Reward**

In conjunction with the Residual Action Policy, empowers the Phy-DRL with a mathematically provable safety guarantee and fast training. *Safety-Embedded Reward* 





#### Mathematically provable safety guarantee

Consider the safety set X, the safety envelope  $\Omega$ , and the system under control of Phy-DRL. The matrices **F** and **P** involved in the model-based action policy and the safety-embedded reward are computed according to

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{Q}^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q}^{-1},$$

where  ${f R}$  and  ${f Q}^{-1}$  satisfy

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \cdot \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{A}^\top + \mathbf{R}^\top \cdot \mathbf{B}^\top \\ \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{Q} \end{bmatrix} \succ 0, \quad \text{with a given } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Given any  $\mathbf{s}(1) \in \Omega$ , the system state  $\mathbf{s}(k) \in \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{X}$  holds  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$  (i.e., the safety of system (1) is guaranteed), if the sub-reward  $r(\mathbf{s}(k), \mathbf{s}(k+1))$  satisfies  $r(\mathbf{s}(k), \mathbf{s}(k+1)) \ge \alpha - 1$ ,  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ .





## **Physics-Knowledge-Enhanced Networks**



Including input augmentation and network editing for guaranteeing strict compliance with available knowledge about the action-value function and action policy.



#### Input Augmentation:

Catching hard to learn quantities

#### Network Editing:

Ensuring The end-to-end input/output of the actor network strictly complies with available knowledge

## **Experimental Results**



### Monte Carlo Simulation results in a non-linear cart-pole system

Phy-DRL can render the safety envelope **invariant**, where the others fail.

**Blue points** Safe Internal-Envelope (IE) Sample  $\triangleq \tilde{s}$ : if  $s(1) = \tilde{s} \in \Omega$ , then  $s(k) \in \Omega, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Green points** Safe External-Envelope (EE) Sample  $\triangleq \widetilde{\mathbf{s}}$ : if  $\mathbf{s}(1) = \widetilde{\mathbf{s}} \in \mathbb{X}$ , then  $\mathbf{s}(k) \in \mathbb{X} \setminus \Omega, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ .



## **Experimental Results**



## **Quadruped robot locomotion**

Phy-DRL is a more **robust** and **safer** action policy in safe center-gravity management, safe lane tracking and safe velocity regulation test in four testing scenarios.



# Conclusions



# We proposed a Phy-DRL framework with three invariant embeddings to improve safety assurance for DRL-enabled systems

#### • Residual Action Policy :

- Using model-based controller to catch causality
- Using data-driven DRL to deal with model mismatch
- Less data dependencies

### • Safety-Embedded Reward :

- Efficient construction of reward function using **P** matrix
- Encourage learning a safe and stable policy simultaneously
- Provide mathematically provable safety guarantees for DRL

## • Physics-Knowledge-Enhanced Critic and Actor Networks:

- Augmenting input using physics model knowledge to catch the hard-to-learn quantities
- Ensuring The end-to-end input/output of the actor network strictly complies with available knowledge



The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations

# **Thanks for your attention!**



Scan to know More







Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung/Foundation

