BAYESIAN MODEL SELECTION: MARGINAL LIKELIHOOD, CROSS-VALIDATION & CO



data's description length given the model (fit). For a model $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ and data $(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{n=1}^N$, the MDL criterion is:

$$H[\boldsymbol{\phi}] + H[(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{n=1}^N \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}]$$
(3)

where $H[\phi]$ is the model's description length and $H[(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{n=1}^N \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}]$ is the data's description length given the model. The model with the lowest MDL score is selected.

Multiple vs Individual Points

For multiple samples (given datasets), information-theoretic quantities for model selection can be computed in different ways, **leading to ambiguity**:

- Joint Quantities (e.g., joint marginal cross-entropy, conditional joint marginal information) substitute the dataset directly, e.g. $H[\mathcal{D} \mid \boldsymbol{\phi}]$, and include **in-context learning**.
- Individual Quantities (e.g., marginal cross-entropy, conditional marginal cross-entropy) focus on model performance over individual points, e.g., $H_{\hat{p}_{data} \parallel p(\cdot \mid \phi)}[X]$, similar to validation/test performance.

Andreas Kirsch University of Oxford⁻²⁰²³

Different Data Regimes, Model Misspecification and Prior-Data Conflict

TL;DR

- 1. In the large-data regime (or infinite data limit), the (rate of the) joint quantities and individual quantities converge to the same values. Different models perform differently due to different levels of **model misspecification**.
- 2. In the low-data regime (and low can still be a lot), these quantities will not have converged, and different models can perform differently due to **model misspecification** and **prior data conflict**, which can even be *anti-correlated*.



Num Samples vs Conditional Marginal Cross-Entropy (Cross-Validation NLL)

Model misspecification occurs when the assumed model class does not contain the true data-generating process:

- Different models have different levels of misspecification.
- In the infinite data limit, the model with the lowest misspecification (i.e., the closest to the true data-generating process) will perform best.

Prior-data conflict arises when the assumed prior distribution is inconsistent with the observed data. In this scenario: • Models with priors that are more consistent with the observed data will perform better initially.

Different Information Quantities for Model Selection

For a dataset $(\boldsymbol{x}_n)_{n=1}^N = \{\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_N\}$, we consider the following information-theoretic quantities for model selection:

- Joint Marginal Cross-Entropy: $H_{\hat{p}_{data} \parallel p(\cdot \mid \phi)}[\{X_n\}_{n=1}^N]$. The expected joint information content of a dataset $(X_1, ..., X_n)$ under the model's joint prior predictive distribution, averaged over the true data distribution. Equivalent to the log marginal likelihood (LML).
- Conditional Marginal Cross-Entropy: $H_{\hat{p}_{data} \parallel p(\cdot \mid \phi)}[X_n \mid X_{n-1}, \dots, X_1]$. The expected information content of a single data point X_n conditioned on the previous data points (X_{n-1}, \ldots, X_1) under the model's predictive distribution, averaged over the true data distribution. Equivalent to **leave-one-out cross-validation**.
- Conditional Joint Marginal Information: $H[\{x_n\}_{n=N-k+1}^N \mid \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{N-k}, \phi]$. The joint information content of a dataset $\{\boldsymbol{x}_n\}_{n=N-k+1}^N$ conditioned on a previous dataset $\{\boldsymbol{x}_n\}_{n=1}^{N-k}$ under the model's joint predictive distribution. This is data-order dependent. Also known as the (negative) conditional log marginal likelihood (CLML) (Lotfi et al., 2022, main paper).
- Conditional Joint Marginal Cross-Entropy: $H_{\hat{p}_{data} \parallel p(\cdot \mid \phi)}[\{X_n\}_{n=N-k+1}^N \mid \{X_n\}_{n=1}^{N-k}]$. The expected joint information content of a dataset $\{X_n\}_{n=N-k+1}^N$ conditioned on a previous dataset $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{N-k}$ under the model's joint predictive distribution, averaged over the true data distribution. Measures the model's online learning (or in-context learning) performance. Also known as the (negative) conditional log marginal likelihood (CLML) (Lotfi et al., 2022, appendix).

• The effect of prior-data conflict diminishes as the dataset size increases and the likelihood term dominates the prior term.

Anti-Corr
H(p) p(° ¢))[X ^N X ^{N-1} , X ¹]/pits 2.5 • 1.0 • 1.0 • 1.0 • 0.5 • 0.5 • 0.5 • 0.5 • 0
With anti-c ification, ex (TSE, TSE 2021) and t
(Fong and I proximate f loss curve i worse in the der the curve
Conditional 3- 2- 1- 0- 0- 13750
The different me prior art can model misspecifie prior-data conflic correlated. Here, ple binary regress

Systems.

Fong, E. and Holmes, C. C. (2020). On the marginal likelihood and cross-validation. *Biometrika*, 107(2):489–496. Lotfi, S., Izmailov, P., Benton, G., Goldblum, M., and Wilson, A. G. (2022). Bayesian model selection, the marginal likelihood, and generalization. In International Conference on Machine Learning, pages 14223–14247. PMLR.

Lyle, C., Schut, L., Ru, R., Gal, Y., and van der Wilk, M. (2020). A bayesian perspective on training speed and model selection. Advances in neural information processing systems, 33:10396–10408. MacKay, D. J. (2003). Information theory, inference and learning algorithms. Cam-

bridge university press. Ru, B., Lyle, C., Schut, L., Fil, M., van der Wilk, M., and Gal, Y. (2021). Speedy performance estimation for neural architecture search. In Beygelzimer, A., Dauphin, Y., Liang, P., and Vaughan, J. W., editors, Advances in Neural Information Processing

Failures & Prior Art

related Prior-Data Conflict & Model Misspecification

Samples vs Conditional Marginal Cross-Entropy



correlated prior-data conflict and model misspecexisting methods fail: Training speed methods E-E, TSE-EMA) (Lyle et al., 2020; Ru et al., the conditional log marginal likelihood (CLML) Holmes, 2020; Lotfi et al., 2022) essentially apthe generalization loss by averaging under the might and might prefer models that generalize he low-data regime when the (partial) area unve does not reflect the generalization performance. Chain Rule: Joint Quantities as Area under the Curve



etrics from fail when ication and et are antifor a simion task:





References