A mechanistically interpretable neural-network architecture for discovery of regulatory genomics

**Alex M. Tseng**, Gökcen Eraslan, Nathaniel Diamant, Tommaso Biancalani, Gabriele Scalia

> MLGenX 11 May 2024





#### Introduction to regulatory genomics



# Motifs endow function through a complex and system-dependent syntax and grammar



#### Understanding how proteins bind to DNA is important for:



Image by Svenska Mässan, distributed under CC BY 2.0



Waddington, 1957



Biolcons

Disease diagnosis

#### Cell reprogramming

#### Therapy design

A major challenge: it is hard to extract motifs and their syntax from experimental data



"active" sequences

ATTTATGAGAAACAGTTAAACTTAATACTGACTTATAACC CAAGTATGACCGAGTGCACGGTTCACGTAGATGTTGCCAC AATTCCAACTGTTTGTGAGGGGACGCCCTGTCCGCCGCTCG TCCGCTCCATAAGGTAGGGGGGACCAGACTGGCTGATACTC AGTTGGCGGCCCGATCGCCTCGTGATGCGCCCCGACCCTCTA

CGGCCTAACTGGCTTTCAAGGAACCTGGCAAGTGTAACTA GGGCCGGCGCATGCATAGTTGAACATAATGGAATTGCAAT GGGTTCGCGATTGATGACATGCTTATTCACACTCGTCACA AATGGAATTCTGAGATACGTTCGTAGGCCATCTTTACTGT CCAAAAGATTGATGCGTTCAGAACATACACTGAAGGGTAA "inactive" sequences

GGTTCGACAAATATTTTTCCATGGACTGGAAATTGCCGTAT ACCGGCTAGTCTCGTTGCCAATCCGGGCCACCTTGCGCAG CATTAGATTACCGTGCAATCCTGTCTGTCCGTTATTTTAT GACAACTCCAGTGTAGTAGGTGACACCGAATAAGCCGAAG AGCCTCGAATAAAGGTTTTGACTCTCCATGGTATAGAGAG

TTGCTTTATGGTACATTTTAAGGCAAGATTACTGTCTTCC CGATCCGGGCTTCTTAGTATTCGCCCGGCCGCGCAGTAAC ATCAGAACCCATCGGAGGTTGTAGGTCGCCTTAACATATT AGAATAGGTGTCAATGGCGATTTAAGAGACGCCGGCGACA TGATTTTTGCATCACTAACCGTCTGCCCAGTGAGTCAGAG

What are the motifs (and syntax) which distinguish the "active" sequences from the "inactive" sequences?

#### Learning regulatory genomics with neural networks



Experimentally determined molecular label There are many flavors of architectures, all tweaks on a classic CNN



#### Why convolutions?



#### Deep neural networks have become regulatory-biology experts



#### Deep learning in regulatory genomics

Neural networks and sequence-to-activity models

Deep neural network (DNN) models have emerged as the leading type of predictive model in regulatory genomics<sup>1,4,6</sup>. For this Review, we focus on <u>sequence-to-activity models</u> based on neural networks. These models take a putative regulatory DNA sequence (usually 100–10,000 bp) as input and aim to predict some dynamic property (that is, cell or context specificity) of the sequence's activity. For example, a model may predict whether a given TF binds to that sequence in a given cell type as measured by a chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP–seq) experiment<sup>7,8,9,10</sup>. Other common prediction targets include chromatin accessibility<sup>11,12</sup>, RNA binding<sup>13</sup>, gene expression<sup>14,15,16,17</sup>, splicing<sup>18,19</sup> or aspects of chromatin 3D organization<sup>20</sup>.



### Identifying motifs from a NN by interpreting filters



Problem: a single filter's weights don't always encode motifs

Motifs encoded by first-layer filters True motifs

> State-of-the-art accuracy, **dreadful** interpretability Motifs are *distributed* across filters

Identifying motifs from a NN by integrating through the whole NN



#### Problem: importance scores are noisy and unstable



Bad: hard to pick out what the motifs are

Worse: hard to pick out *where* the motifs are

Even worse: the two methods disagree even on the sign of importance

#### The central limitation: expressivity vs interpretability



- Filters do not learn biologically interpretable units
- Importance scores are extremely suboptimal and require enormous *post hoc* processing

An alternative solution: mechanistic interpretability

- Carefully limit the expressivity of the architecture so the *only* solutions are directly interpretable (while retaining performance)
- In a *mechanistically interpretable* architecture, learned decisions are directly encoded in the weights and activations

Analysis of Regulatory Genomics with a Mechanistically Interpretable Neural Network (ARGMINN) A mechanistically interpretable architecture for regulatory DNA



Motif-scanning module

**Filters** 

## TT<u>TATTGG</u>TTG<u>TGAACC</u>CCTATAAC

If both neurons fire, the boxed weights should not both be non-zero

A single convolutional layer learns all motifs.

Unique regularization to ensure a one-to-one mapping between motifs and filters.

Syntax-building module



Attention with *single* query from *explicit* memory stream (modified each layer). Key/value vectors always directly derived from the original motif-scanner activations. Additional attention layers learn 2<sup>nd</sup>-order, 3<sup>rd</sup>-order (etc.) interactions. Extracting motifs from ARGMINN

## **TT<u>TATTGG</u>TTGTGAACCCCTATAAC**

Filters One-to-one mapping between filters and relevant motifs

#### Discovered motifs



Interpreting filters directly in a traditional model leads to non-motif patterns: Information is distributed across the model

#### ARGMINN finds *high-quality*, *relevant*, *non-redundant* motifs

Clustering importance scores leads to redundancy and non-motif patterns: Fundamental limitations in importance scores and in clustering Extracting motif instances and syntax with ARGMINN



#### Motif instances and syntax are revealed with a single forward pass





#### Summary

- ARGMINN: a mechanistically interpretable neural network for regulatory genomics
- ARGMINN encodes biologically relevant motifs in its filters in a one-toone fashion
- ARGMINN reveals motif instances and syntax with a single forward pass
- Through improved interpretability, the quality of motifs and their instances surpasses current state-of-the-art methods

#### Acknowledgments



Thanks for listening!

tseng.alex@gene.com





A Member of the Roche Group